

# CONCRETE OVERLAY

# Design and Installation following Technical Report TR066

E E E 12



# CONCRETE OVERLAYS

# What are they

When a new layer of concrete is applied to existing concrete with the aim of strengthening or repairing a structure, the result is referred to as an overlay. The overlay (here the term overlay is used even for concrete jacketing applications) is usually cast directly or applied as shotcrete. Its function is to augment the flexural compression, flexural tension, shear strength and ductility, depending on the position of placement. Some typical applications involve the strengthening of structural elements such as vaults, pillars, beams and foundations (Figure 1).

Prior to placement of the overlay, the surface of the old concrete member is prepared by suitable means and pre-wetted.

Shrinkage of the new concrete overlay can be reduced by careful selection of the concrete mix. However, the constraint forces caused by differential shrinkage and, in certain cases, by differential temperature gradients, cannot be avoided. Initially, stresses in the bond interface result from a combination of peripheral loads and internal constraint forces. It must be kept in mind that stresses due to shrinkage and temperature gradients in the new concrete typically reach their maximum at the perimeter (peeling forces). The combination of peripheral and internal stresses often exceeds the capacity of the initial bond, thus requiring the designer to allow for a de-bonded interface. This is particularly true in the case of bridge overlays, which are subject to fatigue stresses resulting from traffic loads.

Furthermore, these stresses vary with time, and bond failure can take place years after installing the overlay. When this happens, the tensile forces set up must be taken up by connectors positioned across the interface.

#### **Figure 1** Concrete overlay common scheme object of this paragraph

### Beam, slabs



# Columns, walls, aches, shells, tunnels, foundations



### **Bridges**





= Existing concrete

= New concrete / overlay

## Shear wall in reinforced concrete frame





# **Design principles**

Forces at the interface between the new and existing concrete are determined from the external and internal forces acting on the building component. When designing the interface, it must normally be assumed that the interface is de-bonded. The shear connectors crossing the interface must be placed in such a way that shear forces ("shear flow") at the interface are transmitted at design level (Figure 2).



Because of separation at the interface, the shear connectors are subject to a tensile force and simultaneously to a bending moment, both of which depend on the roughness of the interface surfaces. If the surfaces are roughened, additional interlocking effects and cohesion can take up part of the shear force at the interface.

Together with external forces, the structure will be subject even to other forces resulting from constraint at the perimeter.

Though subdividing the interface into zones to contribute to different shear stress is allowed, redistributing the stress for rough and very rough surfaces is not, so the maximum value of each zone is decisive. When designing concrete overlay applications, three verifications have to be taken into account:

- 1. Verification of the shear interface
- 2. Verification of fastening in existing concrete
- 3. Verification of fastening in the new concrete overlay

The EAD that identify the qualification process for connectors that connect two layers of concrete cast at different times is the EAD 332347-00-0601 [14].

The following paragraph will concern point 1 considering both the European design report TR 066 and the method based on Hilti expertise. Points 2 and 3 will be addressed in the baseplate fastening section as referring to the same verifications required for post-installed anchor as per Eurocode 2 part 4 for baseplate applications.



# Design shear interface resistance following TR 066

The transmission of shear forces at the interface between the new and existing concrete is determined

by aggregate interlock, shear friction and dowel action. In general, the following equation applies:

 $\tau_{Rd} \geq \tau_{Ed}$ where:

 $\tau_{Rd}$  Design resistance of the shear force per meter ("shear flow") at the interface

	$ au_{Ed}$	Design	value	of	the	shear	flow	acting	at	the	interface
--	------------	--------	-------	----	-----	-------	------	--------	----	-----	-----------

## Static design resistance following TR 066

To evaluate design resistance TR 066 recommends the following equation:

	pull-out	dowel	concrete strut	
$\tau_{Rd} = c_r \cdot f_{ck}^{1/3} + \mu$	$\cdot \sigma_n + \mu \cdot \kappa_1 \cdot \alpha_{k1} \cdot \rho \cdot \sigma_s$	$\kappa + \kappa_2 \cdot \alpha_{k2} \cdot \rho \cdot \sqrt{\frac{f_{\nu k}}{\gamma_s}} \cdot \frac{0.85 \cdot f_{ck}}{\gamma_c}$	$\leq \beta_c \cdot \nu_e \cdot \frac{0.85 \cdot f_{ck}}{\gamma_c}$	(TR066 2.9, 2.11)

#### where:

- *c*<sub>r</sub> Coefficient for adhesive bond resistance in a reinforced interface (Table 1)
- *c*<sub>a</sub> Coefficient for adhesive bond resistance in an unreinforced interface (Table 1)
- *f<sub>ck</sub>* minimum value of concrete compressive strength of the two concrete layers, measured on cylinders
- $f_{yk}$  Characteristic yield strength of the shear connector
- $\mu$  Friction coefficient (Table 1)
- $\sigma_n$  Lowest expected compressive stress resulting from an eventual normal force acting on the interface (compression has a positive sign)
- $\kappa_7$  Interaction coefficient for tensile force activated in the shear connector (Table 1)
- $\kappa_2$  Interaction coefficient for flexural resistance in the shear connector (Table 1)
- $k_1$  Modification factor for material properties of the connector from product ETA
- $\alpha_{k2}$  Modification factor for geometry of the connector from product ETA
- ρ Reinforcement ratio of the steel of the shear connector crossing the interface
- $\sigma_S$  Steel stress associated to the relevant failure mode
- $\gamma_c$  Safety factor for concrete; 1.50 as given in EN 1992-4 for strengthening of existing structures
- $\gamma_s$  Safety factor for steel; 1.15 as given in EN 1992-4 for supplementary reinforcement
- *b<sub>i</sub>* Width of the interface of the composed section
- $V_e$  Coefficient for reduction of concrete strength  $v_e = (0.55 \cdot (\frac{30}{4})^{1/3} < 0.55)$
- $\beta_c$  Coefficient for the strength of the compression strut (Table 1)



#### Table 1

Coefficients and parameters for different surface roughness

Surface characteristics of interface	C,	C,	ĸ	K2	β.	μ
Very rough, (including shear keys") R, ≥ 3,0 mm	0,5	0,2	0,5	0,9	0,5	0,8 1,0 (f <sub>ck</sub> ≥ 20) (f <sub>ck</sub> ≥ 35)
Rough, R, ≥ 1,5 mm	0,4	0,1	0,5	0,9	0,5	0,7
Smooth (concrete surface without treatment after vibration or slightly roughened when cast against formwork)	0,2	0	0,5	1,1	0,4	0,6
Very smooth (steel, plastic, timber formwork)	0,025	0	0	1,5	0,3	0,5

1) Shear keys should satisfy the geometrical requirements given in Figure 3

#### Figure 3 Geometry of shear keys



where:  $d_k$  is the height of a shear key,  $h_1$  is the base length of a shear key.

## Design under seismic

Design of shear interface under seismic cyclic loading is covered by TR 066. Seismic force acting on the structural element activate tensile forces perpendicular to the interface, which are carried by connector and transferred to the two concrete layers.

Typical seismic repair/ strengthening applications involving shear interfaces



Closed or partial jacketing thickening of sides/ thickening of the confined boundary elements

Ť.		· 1. 1.	· [• • 1] ·	л н.	
 -			-		
F 1			1 [		-
	u			u u	

Under seismic conditions, resistance of the connectors and the decisive failure mode shall be calculated assuming performance category C1 or C2, depending on the application and the design assumption (see Table 4 as reference). As for static, guidance in this regard is provided by EN 1992-4 [18]. Seismic design resistance at the interface is calculated as follows:

$$\tau_{Rd} = \alpha_{seis} \left[ c_r \cdot f_{ck}^{\frac{1}{3}} + \mu \cdot \sigma_n + \mu \cdot \kappa_1 \cdot \alpha_{k1} \cdot \rho \cdot \sigma_{S,eq} + \kappa_2 \cdot \alpha_{k2} \cdot \rho \cdot \sqrt{\frac{f_{yk}}{\gamma_s} \cdot \frac{f_{ck}}{\gamma_c}} \right] \le \beta_c \cdot \nu_e \cdot \frac{0.85 \cdot f_{ck}}{\gamma_c}$$
(TR066, 3.2)

where:



 
 Table 2

 Coefficients and parameters for different surface roughness for seismic cycling

loading

 $\sigma_{A,eq}$  Steel stress associated with the relevant failure mode under seismic conditions

 $\alpha_{seis}$  given in the relevant product ETA in accordance to EAD 332347

Other parameter as per the table 5.

Surface characteristics of interface	C,	ĸ	K2	β.	μ <sub>•</sub> (f <sub>ck</sub> ≥ 20)	μ <b></b> (f <sub>ck</sub> ≥ 35)
Rough, R, ≥ 1,5 mm	0	0,5	0,9	0,5	$0,4\sqrt[3]{\frac{f_{cd}}{\sigma_c + \sigma_n}^2}$	$0,27 \sqrt[3]{\frac{f_{cd}}{\sigma_c + \sigma_n}^2}$
Smooth (concrete surface without treatment after vibration or slightly roughened when cast against formwork)	0	0,5	1,1	0,4	$0,27 \sqrt[3]{\frac{f_{cd}}{\sigma_c + \sigma_n}}^2$	$0,135\sqrt[3]{(\frac{f_{cd}}{\sigma_c + \sigma_n})^2}$

#### **Design under fatigue**

When an interface is subject to substantial changes in stress, i.e. not predominantly static forces, it must be designed to withstand fatigue. In these circumstances the interface between the two concrete layers must always be very roughened.

According to EOTA TR066, fatigue is taken into account by means of a reduction coefficient  $\eta_{sc}$ :

 $\Delta v_{Ed} \leq \eta_{sc} \cdot v_{Rd}$  (TR066 2.13)

Without the effect of static loadings:

 $\Delta \mathbf{v}_{Ed} = v_{Ed,\max} \qquad \text{(TR066 2.14)}$ 

 $\eta_{sc}$  = 0,4 or otherwise given in the relevant connector's ETA

where:

 $\Delta v_{Ed}$  Shear stress acting as fatigue relevant loading

 $\eta_{sc}$  Factor for fatigue loading

 $V_{Ed,max}$  Upper shear stress acting as fatigue relevant loading

 $\Delta V_{Ed}$  Shear stress acting as fatigue relevant loading

# Design shear interface resistance following Hilti Method

As an alternative to TR 066 when an ETA is not available, Hilti supports structural engineers by providing a design method based on Hilti expertise both for static and seismic design. Design resistance follows the equation:

$$v_{Rd} = \mu_h \cdot (\sigma_n + \kappa_{1h} \cdot \rho \cdot \sigma_s) + \kappa_{2h} \cdot \rho \cdot \sqrt{\frac{f_{xk}}{\gamma_s} \cdot \frac{\alpha_{cc} \cdot f_{ck}}{\gamma_c}} \leq \beta_c \cdot \nu \cdot \frac{\alpha_{cc} \cdot f_{ck}}{\gamma_c}$$

Pull-out dowel concrete strut

where:

 $\mu_h$  coefficient of friction



- $\sigma_n$  lowest expected compressive stress resulting from an eventual normal force acting on the interface (perpendicular to the joint surface)
- ρ reinforcement ratio of the steel of the shear connector crossing the interface (total cross-section area of connectors / joint surface area)
- $\sigma_s$  steel stress in the shear connector associated to the relevant failure mode
- $\kappa_{1h}$  contribution factor for the friction mechanism according to table below
- $\kappa_{2h}$  contribution factor for the dowel mechanism according to table below
- $f_{yk}$  characteristic steel yield strength of the shear connector
- $\gamma_s$  Partial factor for steel
- $\gamma_c$  Partial factor for concrete
- $\alpha_{cc}$  is the coefficient taking account of long-term effects on the compressive strength and of unfavorable effects resulting from the way the load is applied
- $f_{ck}$  Characteristic compressive cylinder strength of concrete at 28 days
- $\beta_c$  coefficient for the strength of the compression strut depending on the surface roughness category acc. to TR 066 table 2.2
- $\nu = 0.55 \cdot \left(\frac{30}{f_{ck}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} < 0.55$  coefficient for reduction of concrete strength according to Fib MC2010 7.3-51

In the Hilti Method, only mechanically roughened and smooth Interface are taken in account.

Table 3 gives the parameters needed for the application of the Hilti method.

Parameter K <sub>1h</sub> (Static	Interface characteristics	K <sub>th</sub> (6d < h <sub>eff</sub> < 20d)		
Loading)	Mechanically roughened (≥ 1.5mm)	0.60		
	Smooth Interface (< 1,5mm)	0.40		
Parameter K <sub>1h</sub>	Interface characteristics	K <sub>11</sub> (10d < h <sub>eff</sub> < 20d)		
(Seismic Loading)	Mechanically roughened (≥ 1.5mm)	$0.02 \frac{h_{eff}}{d} + 0.2$		
	Smooth Interface (< 1,5mm)	0.20		
Parameter K <sub>2h</sub>	Normalized embedment depth	K <sub>zh</sub>		
	$\frac{h_{ef}}{d} > 8$	0.70		
	$6 \le \frac{h_{ef}}{d} \le 8$	$0.1\frac{h_{eff}}{d} - 0.1$		
	$\frac{h_{ef}}{d} = 6$	0.5		

**Table 3** Parametersneeded for theapplication of the Hiltimethod



# Installation

Proper surface preparation and connector installation is key to fulfill design requirements. Main phases are the following:

- 1. Removal of damaged concrete/concrete covering
- 2. Surface preparation through roughening
- 3. Installation of post-installed connectors as per manufacturer instructions
- 4. Inspection and on-site pull-out testing if required from the structural engineer
- 5. Placement of new reinforcement, pre-treatment and pouring of new concrete layer

Surface roughness has a decisive influence on the shear forces that can be transmitted. For design purposes, the characteristic dimension is the mean depth of roughness,  $R_t$ , measured according to the sand-patch method. Three commonly used technology to roughen surface are water jetting, sand blasting and grating (Figure 4).



It is recommended that a mean roughness, R<sub>t</sub>, is stipulated when specifying the surface treatment. Prior to approving the treatment, a sample surface must be created and checked using the sand-patch method. An indication of surface roughness is reported in Table 4.

Category	Methods/ Situation	Application: Static & quasi- static	Application: Fatigue cyclic Ioading	Application: Seismic cyclic Ioading	Peak to mean roughness R* [mm]
Very rough	Water jetting, indented	Yes	Yes	Yes (to handle as Rough)	≥ 3,0
Rough	Sand-blasted	Yes	No	Yes (to handle as Rough)	≥ 1,5
Smooth	Untreated, slightly roughened	Yes	No	Yes	< 3,0
Very smooth	Existing concrete cast against steel formwork	Yes	No	No	Not measurable

\*Parameter for surface roughness based on volumetric measurement according sand patch method.

Rt is the mean height based on this measurement. Other methods for determination of the surface roughness maybe used. Equivalence with the given values of R, need to be provided.

Figure 4 Methodology to roughen concrete surface

**Table 4**Indication ofsurface roughness



The connectors must be positioned in the load-bearing direction of the building component with respect to the distribution of the applied shear force in such a way that the shear force at the interface can be constrained and de-bonding of the new concrete overlay prevented.

Pre-treatment is usually done with primer consisting of thick cement mortar.

Before the cement mortar primer is applied, the old concrete should be adequately wetted 24 hours in advance, and thereafter at suitable intervals. Before applying the primer, the concrete surface should be allowed to dry to such an extent that it has only a dull moist appearance.

The mortar used as a primer should consist of water and equal parts by weight of Portland cement and sand of 0/2 mm particle size. This is applied to the prepared concrete surface and brushed in.

The concrete mix for the overlay should be formulated to ensure low-shrinkage (Water-Cement Ratio 0.40). The overlay must be placed on the still fresh primer, i.e., wet on wet.

Careful follow-up is necessary to ensure an overlay of adequate durability. Immediately after placement, the concrete overlay must be protected for a sufficiently long period (at least five days) against drying out and excessive cooling.



# Hilti recommended solutions



Simplified overview, detail can be found in the relevant product ETA



Hilti Aktiengesellschaft 9494 Schaan, Liechtenstein P +423-234 2965

www.facebook.com/hiltigroup www.hilti.group